

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
for the
Western District of North Carolina

United States of America)
v.)
EMMANUEL JAMES GRIFFIN) Case No: DNCW107CR000116-001
Date of Original Judgment: October 28, 2008) USM No: 22246-058
Date of Last Amended Judgment: N/A)
Defendant's Attorney)
Pro Se

Order Regarding Motion for Sentence Reduction Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2)

Upon motion of the defendant the Director of the Bureau of Prisons the court under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) for a reduction in the term of imprisonment imposed based on a guideline sentencing range that has subsequently been lowered and made retroactive by the United States Sentencing Commission pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 994(u), and having considered such motion, and taking into account the policy statement set forth at USSG §1B1.10 and the sentencing factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a), to the extent that they are applicable,

IT IS ORDERED that the motion is:

DENIED. GRANTED and the defendant's previously imposed sentence of imprisonment (as reflected in the last judgment issued) of _____ is reduced to _____

I. COURT DETERMINATION OF GUIDELINE RANGE (Prior to Any Departures)

Original Offense Level: 27 Amended Offense Level: 25
Criminal History Category: IV Criminal History Category: IV
Original Guideline Range: 120 to 125 months Amended Guideline Range: 120 months

II. SENTENCE RELATIVE TO AMENDED GUIDELINE RANGE


The reduced sentence is within the amended guideline range.
 The previous term of imprisonment imposed was less than the guideline range applicable to the defendant at the time of sentencing and the reduced sentence is comparably less than the amended guideline range.
 The reduced sentence is above the amended guideline range.
 Other (explain): After applying Amendment 750, the revised sentence is 100 months (83% of the revised guideline range of 120 months), which was the original sentence. Therefore, Amendment 750 has no effect on the defendant's sentence.

III. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS

Except as provided above, all provisions of the judgment dated October 28, 2008, shall remain in effect.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Order Date: February 8, 2013


Martin Reidinger
United States District Judge

Effective Date: _____
(if different from order date)

